

## SUBJECT: LEADERSHIP FUNDING REVIEW MEETING: PERFORMANCE AND OVERVIEW SCRUTINY COMMITTEE. DATE TO BE CONSIDERED: 3<sup>RD</sup> December 2024. WARDS AFFECTED: All

## 1. PURPOSE

1.1 To scrutinise the proposed changes to the leadership funding through the schools funding formula for Monmouth Comprehensive, Caldicot School, Chepstow School and King Henry VIII 3 – 19 School.

## 2. RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 It is recommended that members scrutinise the proposals and provide feedback to the consultation document.

# 3. KEY ISSUES

- 3.1 The leadership funding for the four schools has not been reviewed in decades. With the introduction of the new funding formula as agreed previously it became apparent that there was a need to review the funding to ensure that the distribution is fair.
- 3.2 Currently all the all four school have the same funding distribution with King Henry VIII 3 19 School receiving funding for the lower school which is based on a group 2 primary school.
- 3.3 The number of pupils in each school are:

•	Monmouth	1376
•	Caldicot	1145

- Chepstow 665
- KHS VIII 3 19 1209

This is compulsory age pupil and includes the primary phase at KHS VIII 3 – 19 School.

3.4 A governing body raised concerns that the funding should be in some way based on the size and requested the School Budget Forum to review the formula.

- 3.5 The working group for the review included Headteachers for both primary and secondary schools, governors, school business manager and advisors from the Local Authority. They considered a number of proposals over both primary and secondary schools, however in agreement with the primary headteachers it was agreed that the funding would only be reviewed for the secondary schools and King Henry VIII 3 19 school.
- 3.6 The working group were keen recognise the different phases in all the schools, it should be noted that post 16 was not considered as this is funded directly by Welsh Government via a grant.
- 3.7 The proposal is to move from the same lump sum funding for each school to a funding model that provides a lump sum funding for the Headteacher, including a lower phase leader for King Henry VIII 3 19 school and the remaining funding is based on a per pupil allocation, the same amount per pupil which recognises the differences in size of school.
- 3.8 The detailed consultation paper is in appendix 1. With the financial summary in Appendix 2.
- 3.9 It is recognised that Chepstow school has the lowest number of pupils and therefore will see a reduction in funding, however there will be a transition period over 2 years to ensure a smooth transition.
- 3.10 There is no impact on the wider formula funding, primary schools will be unaffected and there is no increase or decrease in the total schools funding due to this.

# 4.0 OPTIONS APPRAISAL

The options are to:

- 1. Remain the same with no changes, this was rejected, in the majority of the other areas of the funding formula there is a recognition of the size of school and the funding reflects this.
- 2. Include primary schools in the review, this was rejected as the primary headteachers are content with the current funding formula.
- 3. Move to a formula that reflects both the size of the school and the number of phases in each school, this was agreed and is therefore the preferred option.

## 5. EVALUATION CRITERIA

Please see evaluation criteria set out in Appendix C.

## 6. REASONS

6.1 To move to a fairer funding model to which recognises the differences in the number of pupils and the number of phases in each school.

## 7. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

7.1 There are no resource implications, the quantum of funding will remain the same for school, primary schools will be unaffected. It is only the four schools that will see a redistribution of their funding.

#### 8. WELL BEING OF FUTURE GENERATIONS IMPLICATIONS (INCORPORATING EQUALITIES, SUSTAINABILITY, SAFEGUARDING & CORPORATE PARENTING)

See attached at Appendix C.

#### 9. CONSULTEES

Headteachers Governing Bodies School Budget Forum Section 151 Officer DMT for CYP Performance and Overview Scrutiny Committee Cabinet Members for Resources and Education Diocesan Directors.

#### 10. BACKGROUND PAPERS

Fair Funding Regulation 2018.

School Finance Regulations 2010.

## 11. AUTHOR

#### Nikki Wellington – Support Services Manager

Email: Nicolawellington@monmouthshire.gov.uk